PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE (PACE)

Parliamentary conference on implementation of the right to free elections

Paris, 4-5 June 2015

Summary of presentations and debates by Mr Nicolas Kaczorowski, General rapporteur of the conference

Venue:
Assemblée nationale
Immeuble Jacques Chaban-Delmas
(Salle Lamartine)
101 Rue de l'Université – 75007 Paris
Contents

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES.................................................................................................................. 3
SUMMARY OF PRESENTATIONS AND DEBATES.......................................................................................... 3
OPENING SESSION........................................................................................................................................ 4
SESSION 1: INTRODUCTORY SESSION: The Council of Europe standards in the field of elections................................................................. 5
SESSION 2: Election legislation: challenges and implementation ............................................................... 6
Session 3: Election campaigns and the use of administrative resources: challenges and good practices........................................................................................................................................ 8
SESSION 4: Inaccuracies in voter lists and difficulties related to the vote of citizens residing abroad........................................................................................................................................ 9
SESSION 5: Functioning of electoral administrations: the challenge of neutrality and impartiality................................................................................................................................. 10
APPENDIX 1: Conference programme....................................................................................................... 12
APPENDIX 2: List of participants............................................................................................................... 16
BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Since 2015, the Parliamentary Assembly has participated in the implementation on parliamentary level of a joint framework agreement between the Council of Europe and the European Union, the 2015 – 2017 EU-CoE Eastern Partnership Programmatic Co-operation Framework (PCF). This programme aims at providing assistance for the reinforcement of democratic reforms in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, the Republic of Moldova, Ukraine and Belarus.

Under the priority ‘promoting democratic governance’, the Parliamentary Assembly is to undertake activities on ways and means to improve parliamentary co-operation in electoral matters by organising regional parliamentary seminars and conferences. The Paris conference was the first in a series of conferences focusing on:

- the standards of the Council of Europe concerning democratic elections and monitoring mechanisms in this field;
- possibilities for improving legal frameworks and electoral practice with regard to certain recurring issues identified during observation missions by the Parliamentary Assembly; and
- best practice in the field of elections.

The conference programme and the list of participants are set out in Appendices 1 and 2.

SUMMARY OF PRESENTATIONS AND DEBATES

Two key points emerged at the start of the conference. First of all, elections are the expression of democracy and form its cornerstone; they are a necessary but not sufficient condition for its existence. Respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms are founding elements of all free elections. Democracies are going through a period of doubt, with a decline in democratic enthusiasm. Turnout at elections is declining and there is a crisis of confidence in democratic institutions. The right to free elections is not implemented properly throughout Europe, and the states in the Eastern Partnership sometimes face difficult situations in which it is vital to strengthen democratic institutions.

The participants underlined the importance of advancing democracy, the rule of law and respect for fundamental freedoms throughout Europe, as neither security nor stability are possible otherwise. To that end, co-operation and consultation between the Council of Europe, its various bodies, the EU and the OSCE are vital in order to strengthen those countries’ democratic institutions.
For several decades, the Council of Europe has played a central part in codifying electoral law, in election observation and in helping member states to improve their electoral processes.

In the area of **standard-setting work**, the Venice Commission has co-operated in the Eastern Partnership countries for 20 years, seeking to improve electoral legislation and practice in partnership with the host countries. This partnership is based on dialogue and a co-operative rather than directive approach. The Commission’s main activity is legal assistance through the publication of opinions on electoral laws, often in conjunction with the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR), with a total of over 120 having been issued to date.

To ensure consistency in its opinions, the Commission draws on the Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, drawn up in 2002 by its Council for Democratic Elections. This codification and standard-setting work provides a sound basis for developing consistent case law.

The Venice Commission has not confined its standard-setting to elections and has continued the process by publishing other codes on referendums and political parties.

**International election observation** seeks to ensure the fairness of elections. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe plays a leading role in developing the European electoral heritage and in international election observation. It initiated international election observation in Europe when it observed the elections in Greece in 1974. Since 1989, election observation has become a regular part of its activities in terms of monitoring member states’ commitments and, since then, in close co-operation with the Venice Commission, it has observed over 150 parliamentary and presidential elections, deploying approximately 2,000 parliamentarians from different countries.

The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities focuses on local and regional elections. Around 100 election observation exercises have been conducted since the establishment of the Congress. The Congress applies the same standards and criteria as the PACE. It also co-operates closely with the Venice Commission and other Council of Europe bodies. In many countries, mayors are involved in organising local elections, which means they can provide valuable input during election observation exercises.

Although it is sometimes criticised, observation is nevertheless founded on clear and comprehensive methodology which fits in with the electoral cycle and is based on accuracy, impartiality and professionalism. It plays a part in improving transparency and public confidence. It is not, however, an end in itself. The observation reports help the countries to improve their electoral processes through the recommendations made for their consideration. Follow-up to the recommendations remains the weak link in election observation, however.

Lastly, there is **electoral assistance** and the key challenge of how to help countries to improve their electoral processes. The Council of Europe’s work focuses on three types of action:

- strengthening the institutional capacities of electoral administrations through a range of training courses;
- awareness-raising work for voters, in particular women, who are usually under-represented in elected assemblies, first-time voters and minorities, etc;
• training of citizen observers to make them more professional and more credible in backing up international election observation.

The Council of Europe's work in the area of electoral assistance was praised, in particular the capacity-building activities which are of direct benefit to electoral administrations and the support in terms of voter awareness-raising. It was underlined that women must play a key part in states’ public and political life.

SESSION 1: INTRODUCTORY SESSION: The Council of Europe standards in the field of elections

The Council of Europe indicated the aspects of Europe’s electoral heritage to which it had contributed through its standard-setting work. Electoral law is composed of international standards which are binding on the contracting States Parties. These include, for instance, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights. They must be distinguished from the other commitments which are not legally binding.

Much reference was made to the Venice Commission’s Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, which is a good example of this soft law. The code seeks to harmonise electoral standards and improve electoral legislation and serves as a benchmark for assessing the democratic character of elections.

It must, however, be remembered that electoral legislation alone does not guarantee that elections will be democratic; that largely depends on how the legislation is implemented, which is a responsibility shared by the authorities and the other stakeholders in elections.

The great range and diversity of the European Court of Human Rights’ case law on electoral matters was presented in detail. The right to free elections is enshrined in Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 to the European Convention on Human Rights, which lays down the fundamental principles of democratic elections. The protocol has been ratified by 45 of the 47 member states (except Monaco and Switzerland).

The extent of the protection provided under Article 3 was discussed with a view to defining the admissibility criteria for complaints lodged with the Court. There are both substantial and procedural criteria (types of elections, categories of applicants, exhaustion of domestic remedies, six-month deadline for lodging applications with the Court, etc). States are granted a margin of appreciation. The Court rules on cases individually. Applicants must prove that their rights have been affected by the impugned decision.

The Court has considered the principle of universal suffrage, i.e. the right to vote and to stand for elected office. It has held that the right to vote is not a privilege but an individual right which parliaments may, however, restrict – for instance, in the event of imprisonment, subject to certain conditions of proportionality and lawfulness.

Some participants underlined certain countries’ failure to execute the Court’s judgments, as well as the risks relating to political pressure which could be put on judges at the Court. In this connection, the integrity and credibility of the Court’s staff were emphasised.

64,400 applications had been lodged with the Court as at 30 April 2015. The figure rises every year. Most applications currently involve Ukraine, Russia, Italy and Romania. 95% of applications are declared inadmissible by the Court. This high figure is explained in part by the fact that the administrative requirements for lodging applications have been tightened up since 2014 with a new form. The formalities are also stricter.
The European Union is based on the rule of law through the treaties which member states undertake to abide by. The fundamental principles for elections to the European Parliament are set out in the 1976 act and must be applied by all EU member states. The 2014 elections were marked by greater transparency, through the presentation of candidates for the post of President of the European Commission, visits by candidates to the various states, the holding of TV debates and the indication of European political group allegiance on the ballot papers. However, the elections once again saw a decline in turnout, which is a challenge for all member states. There is a lack of trust in politics, which is the main reason for electoral disengagement. European citizens are also increasingly mobile, with 12 million living in countries other than their country of origin. Under current European legislation, they are entitled to vote and stand in local and European elections.

It was concluded that the right to free elections must be guaranteed by all Council of Europe member states. The right demands respect for freedom of expression, association and assembly, as well as transparency and equality of arms between candidates.

SESSION 2: Election legislation: challenges and implementation

The PACE monitoring procedure

The PACE has established a monitoring system by setting up a committee to monitor the honouring of obligations and commitments made by member states upon accession to the Council of Europe. Nine countries are currently under the monitoring procedure and four under the post-monitoring dialogue procedure.

Two rapporteurs from different countries and different political groups are appointed rapporteurs for each country under a monitoring procedure. The rapporteurs visit the countries concerned regularly and are fully aware of the situation in each country. The Monitoring Committee regularly prepares reports on the functioning of democratic institutions in the countries concerned, which are adopted by the PACE. The Monitoring Committee co-operates with other Council of Europe bodies, the European Parliament and the ODIHR. Naturally, it also co-operates with the authorities of the countries being monitored and national players such as civil society organisations.

Legal assistance of the Venice Commission

The Venice Commission has published over 500 opinions since it was set up. These opinions, which are often drafted in co-operation with the ODIHR and at the request of the member states or the PACE, play a key part in improving electoral legislation through the formulation of recommendations. They reflect the Council of Europe’s electoral norms and standards, of which they form the main basis.

The opinions look at the model chosen by the member state, which is assessed in line with the norms and standards, regard being had to the context and needs of each country. They are also based on the findings and conclusions of the PACE election observation exercises. The opinions are adapted to each situation.

The opinions are not binding on the states concerned but are advisory in nature and enjoy great authority. They are one tool among others such as election observation and help to build trust in the integrity of elections.

The conference participants noted a clear improvement in the quality of electoral legislation. The current problem is less one of the content of legislation than of its implementation, which is sometimes inadequate. Analysis of the Venice Commission’s opinions reveals a number
of recurring issues concerning equal suffrage, the size of constituencies, the neutrality and impartiality of electoral commissions, campaign and political party funding and certain restrictions on standing for election, election observation, misuse of administrative resources and voter lists, voting by citizens resident abroad, the representation of women, electoral disputes and a lack of internal democracy within political parties. Solutions and recommendations are put forward in the Commission’s opinions, which are publicly accessible documents.

It was concluded that substantial progress had been made in the holding of democratic elections in most member states. However, irregularities are still noted all too often.

**Challenges in applying Council of Europe standards**

The recurring issues highlighted in PACE election observation reports and other documents are of two kinds: they may be unintentional and related to the inexperience of emerging democracies or they may involve serious deliberate irregularities, which sometimes reflect a lack of political will to hold democratic elections.

A list of the recurring problems identified in the Parliamentary Assembly’s reports was drawn up during the conference. In particular:

- misuse of administrative resources;
- opacity of election campaign funding and other problems related to political party funding;
- lack of equal access to the media and of media impartiality;
- lack of independence and impartiality of electoral administration;
- threats, pressure, violence and intimidation carried out against candidates or voters, arbitrary detention of opposition candidates and supporters;
- inaccuracy of electoral registers likely to lead to electoral fraud;
- restrictions on the right to stand for election, including excessive registration requirements for candidates and political parties;
- vote buying, family voting;
- falsification of electoral protocols during vote counting.

These problems must be the focus of the relevant Council of Europe bodies’ work.

**National and international election observation**

Democratic elections are the cornerstone of democracy and are a powerful force for security. Election observation seeks to improve the compliance of electoral processes with international norms and standards, including those of the Council of Europe. To date, 300 elections have been observed by the ODIHR, frequently in co-operation with the PACE and the Congress.

Observation is funded on clear methodology which fits in with the electoral cycle. It is based on accuracy, transparency, impartiality and professionalism of the observers. It is not an end in itself. The published observation reports help the countries to improve their electoral processes through the recommendations made for their consideration. Observers’ reports have been criticised for being too diplomatically worded and setting out conclusions that are too accommodating towards governments. There are also concerns about a lack of objectivity of certain observation reports. Another issue is a lack of unity among international observers and sometimes diverging conclusions, which create problems in terms of trust in the observers’ work in the countries concerned. When expressed publicly, these disagreements can undermine the credibility of international election observation and of the observers’ assessments.
Election observation missions are increasingly focusing on the use of new technology in the various phases of the electoral process, campaign funding, electoral participation of women and people with disabilities and access by them to electoral processes and the issue of the deployment of observer teams in conflict zones.

Follow-up to the recommendations remains the weak link in election observation, as they are only very rarely acted upon. The political will to implement observers’ recommendations is often lacking. The international observers’ reports are discussed within Council of Europe, OSCE and EU bodies and with the relevant countries’ authorities, as well as civil society. Efforts are made to co-ordinate follow-up by various international organisations and present the conclusions and recommendations set out in the observation reports. Follow-up to the recommendations is then divided up between these various institutions and with the agencies which provide electoral assistance. Kyrgyzstan, Georgia and Ukraine are all good examples of successful follow-up.

During the discussion, reference was made to so-called citizen observation conducted by civil society organisations and to their role in supplementing the work of international observers. The importance of their access to all phases in the electoral process was underlined. These organisations encounter various obstacles, including difficulties in registering with the relevant authorities and a lack of access to financial resources for funding their operations.

**Session 3: Election campaigns and the use of administrative resources: challenges and good practices**

The use or misuse of administrative resources is one of the key challenges to the holding of fair elections. The first difficulty is to define the problem of the misuse of administrative resources, which is a serious offence which can undermine the legitimacy and lawfulness of an election. The speakers proposed the following definition adopted by the Venice Commission in 2013 to clarify the concepts and the debate, while underlining the ambiguities of the concept:

“Administrative resources are human, financial, material, in natura and other immaterial resources enjoyed by both incumbents and civil servants in elections, deriving from their control over public sector staff, finances and allocations, access to public facilities as well as resources enjoyed in the form of prestige or public presence that stem from their position as elected or public officers and which may turn into political endorsements or other forms of support.”

So what can be done to limit the misuse of these resources? First of all, electoral legislation must embody certain principles, namely transparency, equal opportunities in terms of equality of arms, the impartiality of civil servants who must not favour or campaign for particular candidates during working time and, lastly, the principle of fairness, in other words, the campaign resources deployed by the candidates must be fair so that the integrity of the voting is not undermined. The legislation must therefore make a distinction between the legitimate and lawful use of public resources and illicit misuse of them.

The legislation must also include proportionate penalties in the event of proven breaches so as to combat impunity. However, legislation cannot eradicate the problem unless there is a strong commitment to respect and enforce it. This requires the political will to combat the relevant misuse of resources, which is a vital aspect, as well as a sense of responsibility on the part of the stakeholders, in particular the highest state authorities, who must maintain a clear distinction between the state and the ruling party.
Other approaches for tackling the problem were mentioned, some were radical, such as ignoring the issue, which is not satisfactory in terms of democracy, while another option was imposing an outright ban, which raises problems regarding implementation and hence the credibility of the ban. Another solution would be to prohibit re-election. Lastly, it might be possible for elected representatives standing for fresh terms to suspend the exercise of their existing mandates during campaigning so that the public funds associated with them were no longer available.

A more pragmatic approach would be for the material resources made available to elected representatives seeking fresh terms to be charged at market rates and accordingly appear as expenses in campaign accounts. While this option seems reasonable, it is, however, sometimes difficult to make a distinction between what comes under campaigning and what comes under the exercise of the mandates of elected representatives who are seeking re-election. The difficulties involved in this solution were illustrated through the example of France, its legislation, its practice and its case law.

SESSION 4: Inaccuracies in voter lists and difficulties related to the vote of citizens residing abroad

In recent decades, emphasis has been placed on inclusion and extending the franchise in the direction of universality. Voter lists are a fundamental aspect of all democratic elections, as they enable the right to vote to be exercised efficiently on polling day and protect that right.

It is necessary to underline what voter lists are and what their main functions are. First of all, voter lists are tools for proper election management because they determine voters’ right to vote before polling day, in line with the relevant legislation. Secondly, they are tools for election planning. They make for the accurate assignment of voters to individual polling stations and the allocation of the necessary voting material on polling day.

In short, they ensure that the right to vote is protected and are a means of combating electoral fraud. Voter lists are all based on the same principles, even though registration methods vary from country to country (passive or active; continuous or periodic, permanent or temporary). The shared principles are exhaustiveness, accuracy, accessibility, non-discrimination, credibility, transparency and protection of personal data. Voters must be able to check that their names are on the lists. If they are not, they must be able to lodge appeals to have their names included before polling day so that they can exercise their right to vote.

Voter lists require regular updating to ensure that they are complete and eliminate persons who do not meet the eligibility criteria and are therefore not entitled to vote. The criteria vary depending on the countries and their legislation.

The tension between governments’ responsibility to implement the principle of universality, in other words, the obligation to register all citizens with the right to vote on voter lists, and the overriding need to protect the integrity of elections against attempted fraud was illustrated by the discussion concerning the possibility of registering voters on the lists on polling day.

Although this practice is relatively widespread in Council of Europe and OSCE member countries, a number of participants called for the use of such supplementary lists to be discontinued. The defenders of the practice highlighted the great mobility of voters, which is a real challenge when it comes to compiling voter lists. The process was described as a means of making lists more exhaustive and more inclusive. Voters are registered on supplementary lists and then included in the main permanent lists after the elections.
The opponents of this solution voiced doubts about supplementary lists, which opened up the possibility of electoral fraud. While universal suffrage is a cardinal principle of all democratic elections, it should not lead us to forget voters' responsibility to take the necessary steps on time so as to be able to exercise their right to vote. One solution put forward was that voters could be added to voter lists on polling day provided that they presented a formal decision taken by a court or electoral commission.

The discussion illustrated the complex nature of voter registration, which is sometimes controversial and is often challenged by parties and candidates, as it can be open to manipulation. Confidence in the accuracy and exhaustiveness of voter lists is therefore vital to ensure the acceptance of election results and trust in the electoral process.

A series of four recommendations was made to improve the quality of voter registers:

- centralisation of voter registration, which is more effective than decentralised compilation of lists;
- a need for greater co-ordination between the various institutions involved in compiling and updating voter lists;
- the introduction of robust supervision mechanisms for identifying duplicate entries and correcting inaccurate or incorrect entries; and
- the need for transparency through the publication of voter lists to allow for the necessary corrections.

Voter registration has been made more complex by the extension of the franchise to citizens residing abroad, which has been a marked trend for several years even though the vote for nationals residing abroad is not provided for in any binding treaties. At the same time, there is a trend in Europe towards extending the right to vote in local elections to foreigners, given people's growing mobility.

One of the main challenges is the definition of residence (habitual residence, residence for tax purposes, etc.) – the same problem also arises for voters in the country. Geographical distance from the relevant countries raises issues in terms of the means of exercising the right to vote: proxy voting, postal voting, e-voting or voting in person in polling stations. All of these options entail technical and political problems.

In the final analysis, the challenge is to enable citizens residing abroad to vote while at the same time preventing abuses such as duplicate registration and also protecting the integrity of voter lists. In the case of local elections, it was pointed out that local issues should be decided upon by voters who live in the areas concerned.

**SESSION 5: Functioning of electoral administrations: the challenge of neutrality and impartiality**

Council of Europe member states have a wide range of different types of electoral administration. There are different methods for forming electoral commissions and the procedures for appointing members are varied.

There is a general trend towards the establishment of electoral commissions independent of governments, a model prevalent in Eastern Partnership countries. Their composition may be based on technical criteria and professional competencies or on political balance, in other words, on fair and proportionate representation of the various political forces in parliament. In countries with longer-standing democratic traditions, it is not unusual for elections to be organised by interior ministries with strong involvement of local authorities.
Regardless of the method of appointment and the composition of electoral administrations, it is vital for political parties and also all other stakeholders in the electoral process, including voters, to trust the institution organising elections, which must be an impartial arbiter of the competition for power.

The neutrality, professionalism, independence, transparency and impartiality of the electoral administration are the cornerstones of voters’ confidence in election results. The application of these principles plays a key part in ensuring that elections are democratic. The principles are enshrined in soft-law documents such as the Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, General Comment 25 of the UN Human Rights Committee and the OSCE undertakings also known as the Copenhagen criteria.

The independence of the electoral body must also be guaranteed, with several measures contributing to such independence. First of all, the electoral body must be provided for and enshrined in legislation. It must also enjoy the trust of political parties, be financially independent and not be placed under the supervision of any other authority. Further safeguards are provided by members not being subject to dismissal and holding single non-renewable terms. However, this does not mean that electoral authorities are not accountable and do not have to report to a higher authority such as parliament, as is the case in Georgia. The requirement for regular auditing also ensures the good governance of electoral commissions.

Achieving neutrality and impartiality also depends on building the capacity of electoral administrators. If they are properly trained, they will demonstrate greater professionalism, thereby conferring greater credibility on elections. Electoral administrations must also act as impartial arbiters of political contests by maintaining close relationships with the stakeholders which they must keep well informed so as to build trusting relations. Lastly, transparency must be a core feature of electoral commissions’ work. Their sessions should be open to observers and their documents available to the general public.

The problems and difficulties most frequently encountered are as follows:

- an imbalance between the different political parties represented on electoral commissions with party-based membership. The approach is not always accepted by opposition parties, which often believe that the ruling party has too much weight;
- electoral commissions under government influence, which have only formal rather than real independence;
- a lack of impartiality and independence of regional electoral commissions, which are sometimes under the influence of local and regional government. They sometimes depend on regional government funding for their operation.

It was underlined that the involvement of NGOs in the process of selecting members of electoral commissions can play a positive role in increasing trust in the impartiality of electoral bodies. This applies in Georgia, in particular, where this model has been implemented. There was also discussion about the membership of electoral bodies, which must take political decisions and which some participants said must therefore be made up solely of professionals. The various models are all acceptable insofar as they are consensual and do not raise questions of principle concerning the integrity of elections.

Confidence in electoral administrations depends largely on the degree of acceptance of results. Conversely, political parties are more likely to accept the results of elections proclaimed by electoral bodies that are perceived to display neutrality, impartiality, integrity and professionalism.
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<td>Chairman of the Standing Commission on State Building, Local Government and Regulations, House of Representatives, National Assembly of the Republic of Belarus / Président de la Commission permanente de la construction étatique, des autorités territoriales et des régulations, Chambre des Représentants, Assemblée nationale de la République de Belarus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Belarus</td>
<td>Mr SAMOSEIKO</td>
<td>Chairman of the Standing Commission on International Affairs, House of Representatives, National Assembly of the Republic of Belarus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia / Géorgie</td>
<td>Mr CHIKOVANI</td>
<td>Chairman of the Faction “Free Democrats” / Président du groupe des “Démocrates libres”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr DZIDZIGURI</td>
<td>Zviad</td>
<td>Deputy Chairman of the Parliament of Georgia / Vice-Président du Parlement de la Géorgie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr KAKHIANI</td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Chairman of the Committee on Rules of Procedure, Parliament of Georgia / Président de la Commission de règlement et des procédures, Parlement de la Géorgie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms KORDZAIA</td>
<td>Tamar</td>
<td>Member of the Parliament of Georgia / Députée, Parlement de la Géorgie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Moldova / République de Moldova</td>
<td>Ms PALIHOVICI</td>
<td>Head of Moldova Delegation to the PACE; Deputy Chairperson of the Parliament of the Republic of Moldova / Chef de la Délégation de Moldova auprès de l’APCE, Vice-Présidente du Parlement de la République de Moldova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr ŢÎRDEA</td>
<td>Bogdan</td>
<td>Member of the Committee on Foreign Policy and European Integration / Membre de la Commission de la politique étrangère et de l’Intégration européenne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr VREMEA</td>
<td>Igor</td>
<td>Member of the Committee on Legal Affairs, Appointments and Immunities / Membre de la Commission des Affaires juridiques, des nominations et des immunités</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Mr MARTYNENKO</td>
<td>Deputy Secretary General, Secretary of the Expert Group on the preparation of the draft law on local elections, Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms GRACHOVA</td>
<td>Uliana</td>
<td>Adviser to the Chairman of the Verkhovna Rada, Consultant of the Expert Group on the preparation of the draft law on local elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Central Electoral Commissions (CEC) / Commissions électorales centrales

## Armenia / Arménie

| Ms SIMONYAN | Liana | Head of Foreign Relations Department, CEC of the Republic of Armenia / Chef du Département des Relations étrangère, Commission de la République d’Arménie |

## Azerbaijan / Azerbaïdjan

| Mr GASIMOV | Rovzat | Head of Secretariat, CEC of the Republic of Azerbaijan / Chef de Secrétariat, Commission de la République d’Azerbaïdjan |

## Georgia / Géorgie

| Ms ZHVANIA | Tamar | Chairperson, CEC of Georgia / Présidente, Commission de la Géorgie |
| Mr JAVAKHISHVILI | Giorgi | Representative, CEC of Georgia / Représentant, Commission de la Géorgie |

## Republic of Moldova / République de Moldova

| Mr PASAT | Corneliu | Representative, CEC of the Republic of Moldova / Représentant, Commission de la République de Moldova |

## Ukraine

| Mr OKHENDOVSKYI | Mychailo | Chairman, CEC of Ukraine / Président, Commission de l’Ukraine |

## Non-governmental Organisations (NGOs) / Organisations non-gouvernementales (ONG)

## Armenia / Arménie

| Ms MARIKOVA | Anna | Project coordinator – “It’s Your Choice” / Coordinatrice de projet – “C’est votre Choix” |

## Azerbaijan / Azerbaïdjan

| Mr MAMMADZADA | Mammad | Election Monitoring and Democracy Studies Centre / Centre du suivi des élections et d’études sur la démocratie |

## Belarus / Belarus

| Mr AHEYEU | Aleh | Belarusian Association of Journalists BAJ / Association Belarusan des journalistes (BAJ) |
Mr KARNEYENKA Viktar Republican Association FOR FREE ELECTIONS / Association républicaine POUR DES ELECTIONS LIBRES

Georgia / Géorgie
Ms LOMJARIA Nino International Society for Fair Elections and Democracy / Société international pour des élections justes et pour la démocratie
Ms GIGAURI Eka Executive Director, Transparency International Faction “Free Democrats” / Directrice exécutive, Faction de la transparence internationale « Libres Démocrates »

Republic of Moldova / République de Moldova
Mr CULEAC Petru Associate Expert, Association for Participatory Democracy ADEPT NGO / Expert associé, Association pour une démocratie participative ADEPT
Mr MANOLE Ion Promo-LEX Association / Association Promo-LEX

Ukraine
Mr KLIUZHEV Oleksandr Analyst, All-Ukrainian NGO “CIVIL NETWORK OPORA”/ Annaliste, ONG de l’Ukraine « RESEAU CIVIL OPORA »
Mr KOSHEL Oleksii Director General, All-Ukrainian NGO “Committee of voters of Ukraine” / Directeur Général, ONG de l’Ukraine « Commission des électeurs de l’Ukraine »

COUNCIL OF EUROPE / CONSEIL DE L’EUROPE
Parliamentary Assembly (PACE) / Assemblée parlementaire (APCE)
Mr SCHENNACH Stefan Chairperson of the Committee on the Honouring of Obligations and Commitments by Member States of the Council of Europe (Monitoring Committee) of the PACE / Président de la Commission pour le respect des obligations et engagements des Etats membres du Conseil de l’Europe (Commission de suivi) de l’APCE

Mr RIGONI Andrea Member of the Committee on Political Affairs and Democracy and of the Monitoring Committee of the PACE, Rapporteur on Belarus / Membre de la Commission des questions politiques et de la démocratie et de la Commission de suivi de l’APCE, Rapporteur sur le Belarus
Mr GARDETTO Jean-Charles
Former PACE member and Rapporteur on “Ensuring greater democracy in elections / Ancien membre de l’APCE et Rapporteur sur “Garantir une plus grande démocratie dans les élections”

Venice Commission / Commission de Venise

M BUQUICCHIO Gianni
President of the European Commission for the Democracy through Law of the Venice Commission of the Council of Europe / Président de la Commission européenne pour la démocratie par le droit de la Commission de Venise du Conseil de l’Europe

Mr PACZOLAY Peter
Professor of Constitutional Law, Honorary President of the Venice Commission, Former President of the Constitutional Court of Hungary / Professeur du droit constitutionnel, Président d’Honneur de la Commission de Venise, Ancien Président de la Cour Constitutionnelle de la Hongrie

Mr TANCHEV Evgeni
Vice-President of the Venice Commission, Professor, Judge and Former President of the Constitutional Court of Bulgaria / Vice-Président de la Commission de Venise, Professeur, Juge et Ancien Président de la Cour Constitutionnelle de Bulgarie

Mr GHÉVONTIAN Richard
Professor of Constitutional Law, Vice-President of the University of Aix-Marseille (France), Election Expert of the Venice Commission / Professeur de loi constitutionnelle, Vice-Président de l’Université d’Aix-Marseille (France), Expert en élections de la Commission de Venise

Ms PAPROCKA Daria
Election Expert of the Venice Commission / Expert en élections de la Commission de Venise

Mr MARKERT Thomas
Director, Secretary of the Venice Commission / Directeur, Secrétaire de la Commission de Venise

Mr KALCHENKO Serhii
Lawyer, Election Expert of the Venice Commission / Avocat, Expert en élections de la Commission de Venise

Mr MARTIN-MICALLEF Gael
Legal Officer, Elections and Referendums Division / Juriste, Division des élections et des référendums

Ms UBEDA DE TORRES Amaya
Legal Officer, Elections and Referendums Division / Juriste, Division des élections et des référendums
Congress of Local and Regional Authorities / Congrès des pouvoirs locaux et régionaux

M. FRÉCON Jean-Claude Chairperson of the Congress / Président du Congrès
Mr KIEFER Andreas Secretary General of the Congress / Secrétaire Général du Congrès

Registry of the European Court of Human Rights / Greffe de la Cour européenne des droits de l’homme

Ms SHYROKOVA Inna Legal Officer / Juriste

Directorate of Democracy (DG II) / Direction de la Démocratie (DG II)

Ms LUCIANI Claudia Director, Directorate of Democratic Governance / Directrice, Direction de la gouvernance démocratique

EUROPEAN COMMISSION / COMMISSION EUROPÉENNE

Mr DEFAZIO Giancarlo Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers / Direction Général pour la justice et les consommateurs

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT / PARLEMENT EUROPEEN

Mr KAMARIS Philippe Secretariat of the Delegation for the relations with the South Caucasian countries, Eastern Partnership and Russia Unit / Secrétariat de la Délégation pour les relations avec les pays du Caucase du Sud, Unité Partenariat Oriental et la Russie

ORGANISATION FOR SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE (OSCE/ODIHR)
ORGANISATION POUR LA SÉCURITÉ ET LA COOPÉRATION EN EUROPE (OSCE/BIDDH)

Ms MARTIN-ROZUMIŁOWICZ Beata Head of the Election Department / Cheffe du Département des élections du BIDDH
Ms BOGUSSEVICH Tatyana Deputy to the Head of Election Department / Adjointe à la Cheffe du Département des élections du BIDDH
**INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR ELECTORAL SYSTEM (IFES) / FONDATION INTERNATIONALE POUR LE SYSTEME ELECTORAL (FISE)**

Mr KACZOROWSKI Nicolas General Rapporteur of the Conference, Country Director for Tunisia, International Foundation for Electoral System (IFES) / Rapporteur général de la Conférence, Directeur local pour la Tunisie, Fondation international pour le système électoral (FISE)

**OTHER PARTICIPANTS / AUTRES PARTICIPANTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr BARON Aurélien</th>
<th>Legal Trainee, Venice Commission / Juriste stagiaire, Commission de Venise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr DOMINIONI Samuele</td>
<td>Ph.D. Candidate, International Relations Department Political Studies University in Paris / Candidat au doctorat, Faculté des Relations internationales, Institut d'Études Politiques à Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms NURAHMADOVA Aynur</td>
<td>Head of Division, Inter-Parliamentary Cooperation Division, International Relations Department, Parliament of the Republic of Azerbaijan / Chef de Division, Division de la coopération interparlementaire, Département des relations internationales, Parlement de la République d’Azerbaïdjan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr STSEPNENKA Yauheni</td>
<td>Advisor, Secretariat of the House of Representatives, National Assembly of the Republic of Belarus / Conseiller, Secrétariat de la Chambre des Représentants, Assemblée nationale de la République de Belarus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr SUKHORENKO Andrei</td>
<td>Counsellor, Head of Mission of Belarus to the Council of Europe Embassy of Belarus in France / Conseiller, Chef de la Mission Belarus auprès du Conseil de l'Europe, Ambassade Belarus en France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECRETARIAT / SECRÉTARIAT

PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY (PACE) / ASSEMBLÉE PARLEMENTAIRE (APCE)

Mr MARTINS Mário Director General / Directeur Général

Mr CHAHBAZIAN Chemavon Head of Secretariat, Division for election observations and Interparliamentary co-operation / Chef de Secrétariat de la Division de l’observation des élections et de la coopération interparlementaire

Ms ODRATS Ivi-Triin Deputy Head of the Office of the Secretary General / Chef adjoint du Bureau du Secrétaire Général

M. MANCINI Alessandro Deputy to the Head of Secretariat, Parliamentary Project Support Division / Adjoint au Chef de Secrétariat, Division de soutien de projets parlementaires

Ms KOPEC Eliza Assistant, Parliamentary Project Support Division / Assistante, Division de soutien de projets parlementaires

Ms. LUNGU Anna Assistant, Parliamentary Project Support Division / Assistante, Division de soutien de projets parlementaires

FRENCH NATIONAL ASSEMBLY / ASSEMBLÉE NATIONALE FRANCAISE

M. PINON Xavier Head of Division, Department of European Affairs, Secretary to the French Delegation to the PACE / Chef de Division, Département des affaires européennes, Secrétaire de la délégation française auprès de l’APCE

Mme BIDOT Sandrine Deputy Principal Officer, Department of European Affairs, Secretary to the French Delegation to the PACE / Administratrice adjointe, Département des affaires européennes, Secrétaire de la délégation française auprès de l’APCE
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